In vivo dynamic strains of the ovine anterior mitral valve leaflet
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A B S T R A C T
Understanding the mechanics of the mitral valve is crucial in terms of designing and evaluating medical devices and techniques for mitral valve repair. In the current study we characterize the in vivo strains of the anterior mitral valve leaflet. On cardiopulmonary bypass, we sew miniature markers onto the leaflets of 57 sheep. During the cardiac cycle, the coordinates of these markers are recorded via biplane fluoroscopy. From the resulting four-dimensional data sets, we calculate areal, maximum principal, circumferential, and radial leaflet strains and display their profiles on the averaged leaflet geometry. Average peak areal strains are 13.8 ± 6.3%, maximum principal strains are 13.0 ± 4.7%, circumferential strains are 5.0 ± 2.7%, and radial strains are 7.8 ± 4.3%. Maximum principal strains are largest in the belly region, where they are aligned with the circumferential direction during diastole switching into the radial direction during systole. Circumferential strains are concentrated at the distal portion of the belly region close to the free edge of the leaflet, while radial strains are highest in the center of the leaflet, stretching from the posterior to the anterior commissure. In summary, leaflet strains display significant temporal, regional, and directional variations with largest values inside the belly region and toward the free edge. Characterizing strain distribution profiles might be of particular clinical significance when optimizing mitral valve repair techniques in terms of forces on suture lines and on medical devices.

1. Introduction
The mitral valve is a sophisticated three-dimensional structure, consisting of the annulus, two leaflets, and the chordae tendinae that originate from the papillary muscles and insert into the leaflets. In the healthy heart, the mitral valve ensures unidirectional blood flow from the left atrium into the left ventricle. Throughout the cardiac cycle, the mitral valve experiences complex mechanical loading. Particularly during systole, the leaflets undergo large deformation (Reul et al., 1981; Sacks and Yoganathan, 2007).

Manipulating mitral valves are associated with high mortality and morbidity. Fortunately, several of the disease scenarios can be treated either medically, interventionally, or surgically (Bonow et al., 2008). Throughout the past decades, surgical mitral valve repair has become the procedure of choice (Yun and Miller, 1991). Different strategies such as leaflet resection (Carpentier, 1983; Gazoni et al., 2007), leaflet augmentation (Acar et al., 2004), edge-to-edge repair (Maisano et al., 1998), neo-chordae, chordal cutting, chordal shortening (Carpentier, 1983; David, 1989), and papillary muscle repositioning (Kron et al., 2002) have been proposed as repair techniques to restore mitral valve competence. In addition, medical devices such as anuloplasty rings or mitral clips are implanted in support of the repair or as the sole solution (Carpentier, 1969; Daimon et al., 2006). While these interventions aim at restoring valve function, it is likely that they alter normal loading conditions and associated deformations (Oliveira and Antunes, 2006). It has been shown that alterations in mechanical loading may result in valvular remodeling, which, in turn, might affect normal valve function (Quick et al., 1997). In addition, abnormal loading patterns on the valve may impair long-term repair durability (Bothe et al., 2010; Salgo et al., 2002).

A common measure for the deformation of a body is strain. In a series of studies, in vitro leaflet strains were reported over the entire cardiac cycle of bovine anterior and posterior mitral valve leaflets, both under normal and disease conditions (He et al., 2005; Jimenez et al., 2007; Kortsmit et al., 2009; Padala et al., 2009). These studies demonstrate the sensitivity of leaflet strains...
to alterations in mitral valve geometry and loading. Only recently, piezoelectric transducers were used to quantify ovine anterior mitral valve leaflet strains in vivo (Sacks et al., 2006). In addition, computational studies can also help to understand the complex mechanics of heart valves in silico (Driessen et al., 2007; Prot et al., 2009, 2010; Weinberg et al., 2009, 2010). In vitro and in vivo studies provide valuable insight into mitral valve function. However, existing studies only report local strain values from the center of the leaflet. Understanding of the mechanical state of the leaflet outside the center may be crucial for the evaluation of repair techniques in terms of repair durability (Gillinov et al., 1998). In this study, we provide a more complete picture of anterior mitral leaflet strains. This knowledge will help to characterize mitral valve mechanics more accurately and provide guidance to improve long-term durability of surgical treatments and medical devices.

In the past two decades, we have established different ovine models to study various aspects of mitral valve mechanics under pathological and normal conditions (Bothe et al., 2009, submitted for publication; Green et al., 1999). In living sheep, we track surgically implanted tantalum markers throughout several consecutive cardiac cycles via video fluoroscopy. In the current study this technique is combined with a continuum mechanical approach to reconstruct strain profiles across the entire anterior mitral leaflet throughout the complete cardiac cycle. To address both the engineering community and clinical community, we report areal strains and maximum principal strains which might be more intuitive to engineers (Kortsmit et al., 2009), and circumferential and radial strains which are more intuitive to cardiac surgeons (Bothe et al., submitted for publication; Sacks et al., 2006). Since the choice of the appropriate reference configuration has been discussed controversially in the literature (He et al., 2005; Krishnamurthy et al., 2009a; Sacks et al., 2006), we also explore the sensitivity of the strain calculation with respect to different reference states.

2. Methods

2.1. Animal experiments

All animals received humane care in compliance with the Principles of Laboratory Animals Care formulated by the National Academy of Sciences and published by the National Institutes of Health (DH المو [NIH] Publication 85 to 23, revised 1985). This study was approved by the Stanford Medical Center Laboratory Research Animals Review Committee and conducted according to Stanford University policy.

Prior to data acquisition we pre-medicate 57 adult, male Dorsett-hybrid sheep intramuscularly with ketamine and anesthetize them with sodium thiopental. We intubate and ventilate the sheep with inhalational isoflurane. Following a left thoracotomy, under cardiopulmonary bypass and cardioplegic arrest, we implant 23 miniature radiopaque tantalum markers onto the anterior mitral leaflet and the surrounding annulus, see Fig. 1. After all markers are placed, we close the left atrium before weaning the animals off cardiopulmonary bypass. For biplane video-fluoroscopic imaging of the markers in the beating heart, we transfer the animals to the experimental catheterization laboratory. In the right lateral decubitus position, we acquire the marker coordinates from biplane videofluoroscopy under open chest conditions at a sampling frequency of 60 Hz. Using catheter micromanometer pressure transducers, we simultaneously record atrial, ventricular, and aortic pressures. To obtain four-dimensional marker coordinates, we merge the biplane images off-line via a semi-automated image processing and digitization software developed in our laboratory (Niczyporuk and Miller, 1991).

2.2. Temporal interpolation

The sampling frequency of our biplane videofluoroscopy system is fixed at 60 Hz for all animals. Since the animals have different heart rates, the number of data time points acquired over a cardiac cycle varies with each animal. To create average leaflet geometries and strain profiles, we map all 57 data sets into four time intervals between end-diastole, end-isovolumic contraction, end-systole, end-isovolumic relaxation, and end-diastole of the next beat. Based on the different average interval lengths, these four intervals are further subdivided into five, thirteen, seven, and fifteen segments, respectively, see Fig. 2. Within each of these four intervals, we perform a linear temporal interpolation between the experimentally acquired raw data points to create temporally aligned data sets of hemodynamic and kinematic data at the five, thirteen, seven, and fifteen segment points, thus representing the cardiac cycle through \( n_{	ext{time}} = 40 \) discrete time points.

2.3. Spatial interpolation

From the time-aligned data sets, at each of the \( n_{	ext{time}} = 40 \) discrete time points, we create a triangular facet mesh, see Fig. 1. We characterize the leaflet as a thin membrane and quantify its strain state exclusively in terms of its membrane or in plane strains (Betsch et al., 1998). For each three-noded membrane

Fig. 1. Animal in decubitus position during videofluoroscopic imaging, left. Cameras C1 and C2 record two-dimensional X-ray images of the beating heart. Merging these two data sets, we obtain the three-dimensional coordinates of 23 markers from which we reconstruct the leaflet surface, right. We implanted seven markers close to the mitral leaflet free edge, gray, nine on the central belly region of the leaflet, black, and seven on the anterior section of the mitral annulus, white. The markers have an average weight of 3.2 mg with an outer diameter of 1.1 mm and inner diameter of 0.6 mm.
element, \( n_{\text{nod}} = 3 \), we interpolate the reference configuration \( X(\theta^1, \theta^2) \) and the current configuration \( x(\theta^1, \theta^2) \) in terms of the reference and current nodal positions \( X_i \) and \( x_i \):

\[
X(\theta^1, \theta^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{nod}}} N_i(\theta^1, \theta^2) X_i \quad \text{and} \quad x(\theta^1, \theta^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{nod}}} N_i(\theta^1, \theta^2) x_i \tag{1}
\]

Herein, \( N_i \) are the linear shape functions parameterized in terms of the local curvilinear coordinates \( \theta^i \) with \( z = 1,2 \). From their partial derivatives with respect to the curvilinear coordinates \( \partial N_i / \partial \theta^i \) we calculate the set of covariant base vectors in the reference configuration \( g_\alpha \) and in the current configuration \( g_{\beta} \):

\[
g_\alpha(\theta^1, \theta^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{nod}}} \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial \theta^i} X_i \quad \text{and} \quad g_{\beta}(\theta^1, \theta^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\text{nod}}} \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial \theta^i} x_i \tag{2}
\]

The scalar product between the individual covariant base vectors introduces the covariant surface metrics of the reference configuration \( G_{\alpha\beta} \) and of the current configuration \( g_{\alpha\beta} \):

\[
G_{\alpha\beta} = g_\alpha \cdot g_{\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{\alpha\beta} = g_\alpha \cdot g_{\beta} \tag{3}
\]

Using the contravariant spatial surface metric \( g^{\alpha\beta} = [G_{\alpha\beta}]^{-1} \), i.e., the inverse of the covariant spatial surface metric \( G_{\alpha\beta} \), we map the covariant base vectors \( g_{\beta} \) onto their contravariant counterparts \( g^\beta \):

\[
g^\beta = g^\alpha g^{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad g^{\alpha\beta} = g_{\beta} \cdot g^{\alpha\beta} = [G_{\alpha\beta}]^{-1} \tag{4}
\]

We then introduce the Euler–Almansi membrane strains \( e \) as the differences between the contravariant current and reference surface metrics \( g_{\alpha\beta} \) and \( G_{\alpha\beta} \) in terms of the contravariant spatial base vectors \( g^\beta \):

\[
e_{\alpha\beta} = e_{\alpha\beta} g^\beta \otimes g^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} [g_{\alpha\beta} - G_{\alpha\beta}] \tag{5}
\]

By solving the corresponding eigenvalue problem, we calculate the principal strains \( \lambda^e \):<ref>
<ref>
\[
[e_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda^e g_{\alpha\beta}] n^\beta = 0 \tag{6}
\]

where \( n^\beta \) are the contravariant principal strain directions. From the corresponding characteristic equation

\[
\lambda^2 - \lambda^e \mu + \mu = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda^e_{\text{max}} = \max(\lambda^e_{1,2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^e_{\text{min}} = \min(\lambda^e_{1,2}) \tag{7}
\]

we obtain the maximum and minimum principal strains

\[
\lambda^e_{\text{max}} = \max(\lambda^e_{1,2}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^e_{\text{min}} = \min(\lambda^e_{1,2}) \tag{8}
\]

To determine the circumferential and radial strain components \( e^c \) and \( e^r \), we map the Euler–Almansi strain \( e \) onto the circumferential and radial directions \( n^c \) and \( n^r \) in the current configuration

\[
e_{n^c} = n^c \cdot e \quad \text{and} \quad e_{n^r} = n^r \cdot e \tag{9}
\]

The radial direction \( n^r \) is defined as the vector pointing from the mid-trigonal marker to the geometric center of the leaflet; the circumferential directions \( n^c \) is defined orthogonal to it, passing through the leaflet center, see Fig. 1. Finally, for each time point we calculate the Euler–Almansi area strains:

\[
e_{\text{area}} = \frac{d a - d A}{d a} \tag{10}
\]

as the relative changes in triangular area, where \( d A \) and \( d a \) are the areas of each triangular element in the reference and current configurations. From the Euler–Almansi area strain \( e_{\text{area}} \), we can calculate the area stretch

\[
e_{\text{area}} = \frac{d a}{d A} = \frac{1}{1 - e_{\text{area}}} \tag{11}
\]

which, in classical continuum mechanics, is known as the norm of the projection of the unit normal to the corresponding triangular facet element in the reference configuration, projected with the cofactor of the deformation gradient. If we assume that the leaflet is incompressible, changes in thickness direction

\[
e_{\text{thick}} = \frac{d A}{d a} = 1 - e_{\text{area}} \tag{12}
\]

would follow directly from the incompressibility constraint \( e_{\text{thick}} = 1/e_{\text{area}} \).

2.4. Local strains in the belly region

For a quantitative comparison with previously reported data \cite{Sacks2006}, we extract peak strain values averaged over
the belly region from the raw data sets and report areal strains $\varepsilon_{\text{areal}}$ according to Eq. (10), maximum principal strains $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$ according to Eq. (7), and circumferential and radial strains $\varepsilon_{\text{cc}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{rr}}$ according to Eq. (9), see Fig. 3 left. Using a second order central difference scheme, we calculate strain rates as the first temporal derivatives of the strains. To calculate the left and right boundary values, we apply a one-sided second order downwind and upwind schemes, respectively, see Fig. 3 right. Since different reference configurations have been proposed in the literature, we report these strains and strain rates for three commonly used reference configurations, R1 at minimum left ventricular pressure (Sacks et al., 2006), R2 at end-diastole, and R3 at leaflet separation.

![Fig. 3. Average peak strains and average peak strain rates in the belly region. Means ± standard deviations of areal, maximum principal, circumferential, and radial strains and their corresponding strain rates displayed for three different reference states. Reference states R1, R2, and R3 correspond to minimum left ventricular pressure, black, end-diastole, gray, and leaflet separation, white, respectively. Strains and strain rates display similar means and standard deviations, irrespective of the reference state R1, R2, or R3.]

![Fig. 4. Average strains in the belly region over the normalized cardiac cycle. Time aligned areal, maximum principal, circumferential, and radial strains in the belly region are averaged over 57 animals. Average areal and maximum principal strains with peak values of 12.3% and 12.7% are significantly larger than average circumferential and radial strains with peak values of 3.8% and of 7.0%.]
Using this smoothened average leaflet representation we extract The old nodes, i.e., the number of edges connected to it. 

\[ w = \text{a weighting function} \]

\[ v = \text{valence of the node under consideration, i.e., the number of edges connected to it.} \]

We employed this subdivision algorithm in three refinement steps to create a smooth representation of the three-dimensional leaflet surface which consists of 1017 nodes and 1920 elements, see Fig. 5. Using this smoothened average leaflet representation we extract areal strains \( e^{\text{area}} \), maximum principal strains \( \varepsilon_{\text{max}} \), circumferential strains \( e^{\text{circ}} \), and radial strains \( e^{\text{rad}} \) at maximum left ventricular pressure, averaged over 57 animals using the spatial interpolation of Section 2.3, see Fig. 6. To illustrate the temporal strain variation throughout the cardiac cycle, we display the maximum principal strains \( \varepsilon_{\text{max}} \), averaged over 57 animals using the temporal and spatial interpolations of Sections 2.2 and 2.3, see Fig. 7. Last, to demonstrate changes in maximum principal strain directions throughout the cardiac cycle, we illustrate the maximum principal strain directions \( n^\theta \) associated with the maximum principal strains \( \varepsilon_{\text{max}} \) according to Eq. (6) at end-diastole and end-systole, see Fig. 8.

### 3. Results

For 57 animals, we collect four-dimensional marker coordinates and hemodynamic data. We interpolate these data in time and space to calculate Euler–Almansi strain tensors. We extract areal and maximum principal strains relevant to the engineering community, and circumferential and radial strains relevant to the clinical community. All animals display physiological hemodynamics with a maximum left ventricular pressure of 97.2 ± 7.8 mmHg, see Fig. 2, and a cardiac cycle length of 681.5 ± 103.4 ms. All mitral valves exhibit normal leaflet motion with no apparent valvular dysfunction assessed by epicardial echocardiography.

#### 3.1. Local strains in the belly region

Fig. 2 illustrates three reference configurations commonly used in the literature, R1 at minimum left ventricular pressure, R2 at end-diastole, and R3 at leaflet separation. Fig. 3 displays the comparison of these reference configurations in terms of average peak strains and average peak strain rates of areal, maximum principal, circumferential, and radial strains in the belly region. Mean values and standard deviations of the different strain types and strain rates vary only insignificantly for the different reference configurations. We conclude that strain and strain rate are almost insensitive to the choice of the reference configuration, R1, R2, or R3. Since minimum left ventricular pressure is unproblematic to determine experimentally and has been successfully used in the past (Sacks et al., 2006), we personally recommend state R1 as the most reproducible reference configuration. All following results are therefore based on the reference configuration R1.

Fig. 4 shows the average belly strains over the normalized cardiac cycle. As expected, areal, maximum principal, circumferential, and radial strains are positive during most of the cycle. However, all strain plots display slightly negative values shortly after isovolumic relaxation. While circumferential strains show a distinct positive peak toward end-diastole, radial strains display a noteworthy negative deflection. With continuing systole, the strains rise to their peak values before starting a slow decrease during the second half of systole. During diastole strains drop rapidly to a local minimum
toward the end of the cardiac cycle. The quantitative comparison between areal, maximum principal, circumferential, and radial strains shown in Fig. 4 agrees with the average peak values displayed in Fig. 3. As indicated through the solid black bars in Fig. 3 and through the maximum values in Fig. 4, belly average peak areal strains are 12.7 $\pm$ 7.8%, belly average peak maximum principal strains are 12.3 $\pm$ 4.5%, belly average peak circumferential strains are 3.8 $\pm$ 3.6%, and belly average peak radial strains are 7.0 $\pm$ 4.2%. All peak strains occur at the same time, at $t = 0.42$ of the normalized cardiac cycle, slightly after the phase of isovolumetric contraction.

### 3.2. Global strains in the entire leaflet

Fig. 5 summarizes the average maximum principal strains upon successive mesh refinement to demonstrate the convergence of...
our custom designed subdivision surface algorithm. Fig. 5, left, displays the original mesh with 23 nodes and 30 elements which is successively refined to create a smooth representation of the three-dimensional leaflet surface. Sufficient smoothness is obtained within three steps, with a final mesh consisting of 1017 nodes and 1920 elements illustrated in Fig. 5, right.

Fig. 6 illustrates the average areal, maximum principal, circumferential, and radial strains at maximum left ventricular pressure. Areal, maximum principal, and circumferential strain profiles display largest tensile strains of more than 10% in an area ranging from the belly toward the free edge. The radial strain profile displays largest tensile strains in the belly region spanning from the anterior to the posterior commissure, while radial strains near the free edge are slightly compressive. Global average peak areal strains are $13.8 \pm 6.3\%$ and their strain rates are 432.1 $\pm 174.3\%/s$, global average peak maximum principal strains are $13.0 \pm 4.7\%$ and their strain rates are 247.9 $\pm 122.2\%/s$, global average peak circumferential strain are $5.0 \pm 2.7\%$ and their strain rates are 111.5 $\pm 58.6\%/s$, and global average peak radial strain are $7.8 \pm 4.3\%$ and their strain rates are 332.2 $\pm 149.8\%/s$.

Fig. 7 displays the averaged maximum principal strain vectors over the entire cardiac cycle; videos of all four strain components throughout the cardiac cycle can be found in the supplemental materials. Starting with $t_1$, the leaflet is in the diastolic position, almost fully opened. With progressing time, the leaflet undergoes a configurational change accompanied by localized tensile strains in the center of the free edge. The increasing transvalvular pressure during systole pushes the leaflet into its systolic position, giving rise to increasing tensile strains close to the leaflet edge and toward the belly region. During systole, peak strains do not change significantly, however, the size of the tensile area increases from early systole to mid systole at $t_s$. From mid systole to mid diastole, tensile strains gradually decrease back to zero. At end-diastole, maximum principal strains at the annulus are oriented radially. At end-systole, however, maximum principal strains at the annulus and close to the free edge are oriented more circumferentially, while maximum principal strains in the belly region are oriented more radially.

4. Discussion

Preceding the discussion, we would like to point out that since our markers are implanted on the atrial leaflet surface, their digitized centers do not lie exactly within the leaflet’s mid-surface. Our strain calculation might therefore introduce errors, particularly in regions of high curvature (Göktepe et al., 2010; Kvitting et al., 2010).

4.1. Relation to previous studies

When comparing the belly strains of the current study (CS) to the results reported in previous in vivo studies (Sacks et al., 2006) (S), we find a good agreement concerning average peak areal strain (CS: 13.8%, S: 15–20%), average peak circumferential strain (CS: 5.0%, S: 2.5–3.3%), and average peak radial strain (CS: 7.8%, S: 15%–20%). In addition, reported average peak strain rates agree well with our circumferential strain rate (CS: 111.5%/s, S: 100–130%/s) and radial strain rate (CS: 332.2%/s, S: 300–400%/s). Our average strains over the entire cardiac cycle closely resemble reported single animal raw data, qualitatively and quantitatively (Sacks et al., 2006). Small deviations might be attributed to the different marker locations in the two studies. Previously reported in vitro data show qualitatively similar average peak values and strain vs. time curves (He et al., 2005; Jimenez et al., 2007; Padala et al., 2009). However, all reported strains are slightly larger than ours. This might be caused by the general difference between porcine and ovine valves, and by the incapability of in vitro models to accurately reproduce the mechanical and hemodynamic environment of the mitral valve. In agreement with previous reports (Krishnamurthy et al., 2005a, 2005b; Kunzelman and Cochran, 1992), we find that in the belly region, the leaflet displays higher mechanical strength in circumferential direction than in radial direction, giving rise to significantly different circumferential and radial strain profiles. These findings underline the pronounced anisotropic character of the mitral leaflet, manifesting itself in the heterogeneity of the maximum principal strain directions, which can be attributed to the highly organized microstructure (Billiar and Sacks, 1997; Kunzelman et al., 1993).

4.2. Advantage over previous studies

Local strains in porcine and ovine mitral valve leaflets have been reported in the past measured in vitro using a left heart simulator (He et al., 2005; Jimenez et al., 2007; Padala et al., 2009). Although, these in vitro studies have enhanced our knowledge concerning mitral valve disease and repair, they are per se incapable of reproducing all aspects of the complex mechanical and hemodynamic environment of the mitral valve. The first study to characterize the mechanical state of the mitral valve in vivo used sonocrystals to quantify anterior mitral leaflet strains in the beating ovine heart (Sacks et al., 2006). The knowledge gained from this experiment has since been valuable to both basic understanding of valve mechanics and medical device design. In addition to these studies, our methodology provides a high spatial resolution based on a larger marker array distributed over the entire anterior mitral leaflet. The large number of animals included in our analysis provides a higher confidence than previous studies.

4.3. Global strains in the entire leaflet

We quantify average areal, maximum principal, circumferential, and radial strains for 57 animals. These average strain maps on an average anterior mitral leaflet geometry allow us to characterize the leaflet kinematics throughout the entire cardiac cycle. Regional strain variations are illustrated by means of different strain patterns at maximum left ventricular pressure. While high circumferential strain dominates the mechanics close to the free edge of the leaflet, radial strains are highest in the center of the belly reflecting the circumferential collagen organization and the reduced thickness of the valve tissue (Billiar and Sacks, 1997; Kunzelman et al., 1993). The proposed methodology allows us to elicit the mechanics of leaflet tissue, not only in the belly region, but throughout the entire leaflet surface, and to provide information about the qualitative and quantitative strain state, for instance, close to the free edge of the leaflet and in the septal region. In a complementary study, using a similar methodology, we are currently exploring the dynamics of the mitral annulus in terms of annular geometry, strains, and curvature (Bothe et al., submitted for publication; Rausch et al., submitted for publication) in analogy to a recent study in the literature (Eckert et al., 2009).

4.4. Clinical significance

Information about the mechanical state of the entire mitral leaflet might be important when evaluating current medical devices.
and techniques for mitral valve repair. In most cases, long-term repair failures are the result of disruption at leaflet, chordal, or annular suture lines. Therefore, the information presented in this work would allow the optimization of potential intervention sites in order to reduce strain on medical devices or suture lines. A profound scientific understanding of leaflet strain kinematics is essential to systematically increase repair durability.

5. Conclusion

In summary, the present study demonstrates significant temporal, regional, and directional variations of mitral leaflet strains. From an engineering point of view, average peak maximum principal strains of ~13% in combination with the maximum principal strain directions in end-systole and end-diastole might be most interesting. From a clinical point of view, the average peak circumferential and radial strains of ~5% and ~8% in the belly region might be most relevant. To our knowledge, this is the first study to characterize strain profiles across the entire anterior mitral leaflet in the beating heart. We believe that the reported strain values and strain profiles will provide crucial information to quantify existing repair techniques and devices, and to support engineers and clinicians in the endeavor of improving current treatment strategies.
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